

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
1.1 What is the District Plan?	
1.1	<u>Objection</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Plan is far too long and policies that repeat the content of the NPPF should be deleted. 2. The consultation process is inadequate with a lack of information and over-complicated questions.
1.3 Evidence Base	
1.3	<u>Objection</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The evidence base is not sufficient. 2. The housing need figure is based on extrapolating previous trends. It would be more helpful to try to draw people away from areas that have experienced high growth previously. 3. The Sustainability Appraisal only assesses eight general distribution options and doesn't provide a detailed sustainability assessment of each proposed site. 4. The Sustainability Appraisal does not meet the requirements of the European Directive on SEA. 5. Evidence concerning transport modelling has not been published. 6. The Village Hierarchy Study fails to recognise that some Group 2 villages are much more sustainable than others. 7. The methodology used to assess Green Belt is flawed as it does not differentiate between individual sites.
1.3.2	<u>Objection</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The implications of Brexit have not been considered.

Section/ Paragraph /Policy	Summary of Responses (Support/Objection/Other Comments and Observations)
1.4 Duty to Co-operate and Strategic Planning	
1.4	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Council has failed in its Duty to Co-operate with North Herts Council in that insufficient consideration has been given to a new settlement on the A10 within either administrative area. 2. The Council has failed to consult properly with its housing market area partners in order to ensure that the SHMA is robust and fit for purpose. 3. There is little evidence that the Duty to Co-operate has been met. 4. There is no evidence with regards to how the Council has co-operated with Welwyn Hatfield Council, particularly with regards to how the development to the east of Welwyn will meet respective housing needs and impacts on Panshanger Park. 5. The Council has failed to consult the Hertfordshire Gardens Trust, a statutory consultee.
1.5 Sustainable Development	
1.5	<p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There is no consideration of health issues, particularly hospital capacity.
INT1	<p><u>Support</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support for policy. <p><u>Objection</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Part III of the policy should be deleted as the Council will never have a 5 year supply of land due to developers releasing allocated land slowly due to economic reasons.